

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/667,260	SCHUNK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Rojas	2874	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Omar Rojas. (3) _____.

(2) Laurence Greenberg. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Pat. No. 4,410,469 to Katagiri et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.


It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amending claim 21 in view of the Katagiri reference. The proposed amendment was agreed to by Laurence Greenberg on October 12, 2004 via a telephone message and has been incorporated into the attached Examiner's amendment.



AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER